





PREPROCESSING



PREPROCESSING: WHAT/WHY?



Preprocessing is a series of data transformations (“data conditioning”) aimed at reducing sources of noise

- SOURCES OF NOISE IN fMRI
1. Hardware & acquisition related:
 - Thermal noise (intrinsic noise)
 - System noise (scanner drift)
 - Field inhomogeneities
 - Slice acquisition timing
 2. Subject related
 - Oscillatory physiological noise (heartbeat, respiration)
 - Head motion
 - Psychological (alertness, learning)
 3. White noise



- ### SOURCES OF NOISE IN FMRI
1. Hardware & acquisition related:
 - Thermal noise (intrinsic noise)
 - System noise (scanner drift)
 - Field inhomogeneities
 - Slice acquisition timing
 2. Subject related
 - Oscillatory physiological noise (heartbeat, respiration)
 - Head motion
 - Psychological (alertness, learning)
 3. White noise

- ### CORRECTING FOR NOISE IN FMRI
1. Before scanning (maximize SNR):
 - i. Chose good technology (field strength, coils, ...)
 - ii. Chose good sequence (k-space filling, TE, voxel size)
 - iii. Be informed about the healthy of your scanner (QA)
 2. After scanning (detect & correct):
 - i. Look at your data (i.e., data quality check)
 - ii. Look at your data (again and again)
 - iii. Pre-processing ("standard", ICA)
 - iv. Re-look at your data



- ### CORRECTING FOR NOISE IN FMRI
1. Before scanning (maximize SNR):
 - i. Chose good technology (field strength, coils, ...)
 - ii. Chose good sequence (k-space filling, TE, voxel size)
 - iii. Be informed about the healthy of your scanner (QA)*
 2. After scanning (detect & correct):
 - i. Look at your data (i.e., data quality check)
 - ii. Look at your data (again and again)
 - iii. Pre-processing ("standard", ICA)
 - iv. Re-look at your data


PREPROCESSING: WHAT/WHY?

Preprocessing is a series of data transformations (“data conditioning”) aimed at reducing sources of noise in order to:

- 1) Increasing sensitivity of analysis (SNR)
- 2) Ensuring validity of the statistical model



SAMPLE EXPERIMENT: SNR

TR = 2s
 Vols = 160
 10 AB Cycles
 Cycle = 8A + 8B




SAMPLE EXPERIMENT: SNR

TR = 2s
 Vols = 160
 10 AB Cycles
 Cycle = 8A + 8B





SAMPLE EXPERIMENT: SNR

TR = 2s
 Vols = 160
 10 AB Cycles
 Cycle = 8A + 8B



SAMPLE EXPERIMENT: SNR

TR = 2s
 Vols = 160
 10 AB Cycles
 Cycle = 8A + 8B



THE GENERAL LINEAR MODEL (GLM)

$$y = X \times \beta + \epsilon$$

fMRI Signal	Design Matrix	Parameter	Residuals
"our data"	"what we CAN explain"	"how much of it we CAN explain"	"what we CANNOT explain"

PREPROCESSING

- i. Motion correction
- ii. Slice timing correction
- iii. Spatial filtering
- iv. Temporal filtering
- v. Intensity normalization
- vi. Field distortion correction (unwarping)



PREPROCESSING

- i. Motion correction
- ii. Slice timing correction
- iii. Spatial filtering
- iv. Temporal filtering
- v. Intensity normalization
- vi. Field distortion correction (unwarping)



SUBJECT MOTION




Motion within a time-series can have several unwanted consequences:

- Motion can produce signal changes of a greater magnitude than the BOLD signal
- Lose the correspondence between a voxel and anatomical location




SUBJECT MOTION

A




B



507	88	154
119	171	83
179	117	53


C



663	507	88
528	119	171
137	179	117

Huettel et al. Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging


Effect of Motion Correction




Without MC With MC

MOTION CORRECTION

Reference




*l*th




Difference



\wedge^2




= =




MOTION CORRECTION

Reference




I_{th}



=


Differenc



\wedge^2

=



Variance



Rigid body (6dof)

Rigid body transformations parameterised by:

Translations			Pitch			Roll			Yaw		
1	0	0	0	0	0	cos(θ_1)	0	sin(θ_1)	cos(θ_2)	sin(θ_2)	0
0	1	0	0	sin(θ_1)	cos(θ_1)	0	1	0	-sin(θ_2)	cos(θ_2)	0
0	0	1	0	-sin(θ_1)	sin(θ_1)	0	0	1	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0





COPING WITH MOTION I: PREVENT IT









COPING WITH MOTION III: PERSPECTIVE MC




PROSPECTIVE MOTION CORRECTION



Ward et al 2000 MRM

PROSPECTIVE MOTION CORRECTION



Ward et al 2000 MRM

MOTION CORRECTION IS GOOD, HOWEVER:

- Even after all this, movement artefacts still remain
 - Residual (uncorrected) motion
 - There's no way of detecting rapid movements within a scan
 - Spin history effects*
 - Task correlated motion

THE MORAL OF THE STORY...

- **Stop people from moving**
 - Make sure they're comfortable to begin with
 - Tell them that motion is a big problem
 - Train subjects?
 - Reward them?
- Decouple motion-prone tasks from cognitive event of interest
- Model motion out
- Reject run/subject

PREPROCESSING

- i. Motion correction
- ii. Slice timing correction
- iii. Spatial filtering
- iv. Temporal filtering
- v. Intensity normalization
- vi. Field distortion correction (unwarping)

SLICE TIMING CORRECTION

In our exp we took a full functional image (volume) of the brain every 2 s.
Each volume was acquired in 30 axial slices (interleaved).

SLICE TIMING CORRECTION

Huettel et al. Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging

SLICE TIMING CORRECTION

Most people now suggest not to do it

- Not all that helpful & requires interpolation
- It may worsen artefacts (e.g., smearing spikes)
- Interacts in unpredictable ways with motion correction
- We spatially smooth across proximal slices
- Mismatching TR and task
- Include temporal derivative of HRF

• What order? Ascending, descending, contiguous, interleaved.

PREPROCESSING

- i. Motion correction
- ii. Slice timing correction
- iii. Spatial filtering
- iv. Temporal filtering
- v. Intensity normalization
- vi. Field distortion correction (unwarping)

SPATIAL FILTERING

Replace each voxel's value with a weighted average of its value and the value of its neighbouring voxels.
Gaussian kernel (mm FWHM)

Weights				
0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1
0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1
0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1
0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1
0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1

SPATIAL FILTERING

Advantages

- Increases Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR)
- Matched Filter Theorem:* Maximum increase in SNR by filter with same shape/size as signal
- Allows application of Gaussian Field Theory
- May improve comparisons across subjects

Disadvantages

- Reduces spatial resolution
- May reduce your signal if smaller than your filter size!

SPATIAL FILTERING

Source FSL website

PREPROCESSING

- i. Motion correction
- ii. Slice timing correction
- iii. Spatial filtering
- iv. Temporal filtering**
- v. Intensity normalization
- vi. Field distortion correction (unwarping)

TEMPORAL FILTERING

You are interested in the signal fluctuations that have to do with your task, and thus are at a specific frequency






But there is a lot of activity at many other frequencies (particularly at low ones: $1/f$):

- Scanner drift
- Thermal noise
- Heart beat
- Respiration
- Alertness
- Learning

SIGNAL & NOISE

HIGH-PASS FILTERING

HIGH-PASS FILTERING




- ### PREPROCESSING
- Motion correction
 - Slice timing correction
 - Spatial filtering
 - Temporal filtering
 - Intensity normalization**
 - Field distortion correction (unwarping)

INTENSITY NORMALIZATION I (GMS)

Between-session (grand mean scaling)


- The mean intensity of each 4D dataset varies for non-experimentally interesting reasons.
- Scale each 4D time-series by a single factor.
- Time-series from different runs are now centred around the same mean.



INTENSITY NORMALIZATION II

Within-session

Forces each volumes (*within a run*) to have the same mean intensity.




Junghofer et al, 2005, neuroimage



PREPROCESSING

- Motion correction
- Slice timing correction
- Spatial filtering
- Temporal filtering
- Intensity normalization
- Field distortion correction (unwarping)

GEOMETRIC DISTORTIONS








UN-WARPING FOR FIELD DISTORTION









FSL course

PREPROCESSING 2.0: ICA

1. Run an Independent Component Analysis
2. Find noise components
3. "Clean" the data
4. Run analysis on de-noised data



TRANSFORMATION

- Non linear (>12dof):
 - Can be local
 - Can be constrained (e.g., regularization, topology preservation)

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

An affine transformation is represented by these 12 numbers.
This matrix multiplies coordinate vectors to define the transformed coordinates.


FLIRT: Cost Functions

Important: Allowable image modalities
Less important: Details

Least Squares	Same modality <small>(exact sequence parameters)</small>
Normalised Correlation	Same modality <small>(can change brightness & contrast)</small>
Correlation Ratio	Any MR modalities
Mutual Information	Any modalities <small>(including CT, PET, etc.)</small>
Normalised Mutual Info.	Any modalities <small>(including CT, PET, etc.)</small>

Interpolation

Finds intensity values between grid points




Various types include

- Nearest Neighbour
- Trilinear
- Sinc
- Spline
- k-Space methods

Interpolation

Finds intensity values between grid points




Various types include

- Nearest Neighbour
- Trilinear
- Sinc
- Spline
- k-Space methods

Interpolation

Finds intensity values between grid points



Various types include

- Nearest Neighbour
- Trilinear
- Sinc
- Spline
- k-Space methods

Considerations: speed, accuracy, stability